



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/583,789

06/21/2006

Kimmo Jyrinki

IHN.080.WUS

6884

76385 7590 05/26/2010
Hollingsworth & Funk
8500 Normandale Lake Blvd., Suite 320
Minneapolis, MN 55437

EXAMINER

ZAHR, ASHRAF A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2175

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/26/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/583,789 | Applicant(s) JYRINKI, KIMMO | |
| | Examiner ASHRAF ZAHR | Art Unit 2175 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,5,8-10,13,14 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14, 18-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the final rejection for application 10/583789. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-10, 13-14, 18-25 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejections below have been updated to overcome the amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claims 23-25, these claims are apparatus claims that depend from a method claim. The examiner believes that they should depend from claim 22 and will examine them as such.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2175

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mansikkaniemi et al., US 2002/0063732 (Hereinafter, Mansikkaniemi).

Regarding Claim 1, “a method comprising: facilitating user entry of one or more calendar entries into a terminal, wherein the entries each include a time of an event and a user composed calendar note describing the event”. Specifically, The user of the terminal first selects a particular day and then new calendar event view is shown in the display. A virtual keyboard is shown so that the new event can be typed and other information regarding its occurrence can also be determined (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi discloses, “detecting a text pattern in one or more calendar notes”. Specifically, the designation of the event of an either to be listed on the family or individual calendar would be the identifier (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0048). The event itself is the calendar note. The text pattern could be any text or code used to designate the event, this feature is inherent.

Mansikkaniemi discloses, “associating the one or more calendar notes with one or more calendar profiles in a terminal on the basis of the detected text patterns each calendar profile defining a unique view to the calendar entries” Specifically, associating the event so that a family member can look at the and add to the family calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048). The family member view and individual view of the calendar would be the calendar profile

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “selecting in the terminal at least one calendar profile for generating calendar content to be shared”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another’s calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “generating the calendar content to be shared on the basis of at least one selected calendar profile”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another’s calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “establishing a connection between the terminal and at least one user terminal for transmitting or loading the calendar content to be shared to the at least one user terminal”. Specifically, the authenticated group member may change the group calendar content according to given command in such a way, that other authorized members of the same group get the latest group calendar information from the server (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0040).

Regarding Claim 4, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising generating the calendar content to be shared as defined by the selected user profile based on a remote device, user group, purpose of use or time of day”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add

Art Unit: 2175

to another's calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048). There also is day view or a month arrangement (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0044).

Regarding Claims 5, 8, applicant claims a system to perform the method of claims 1, 4. These claims are substantially similar to claims 1, 4 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claims 1, 4.

Regarding Claim 9, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, "the communications system as claimed in claim 5, wherein the second user terminal is a media device, computer, PDA (Personal Digital Assistant) device or mobile station". Specifically, Mansikkaniemi discloses a computer and wireless terminals Mansikkaniemi, ¶0023-24).

Regarding Claims 10, applicant claims a terminal that performs the method of claim 1. These claims are substantially similar to claim 1 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 1.

Regarding Claim 13, this claim is substantially similar to claim 9 and is therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 9.

Regarding Claim 14, this claim is substantially similar to claim 1 and is therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 1.

Regarding Claim 18, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method of claim 1, wherein generating the calendar content to be shared on the basis of at least one selected calendar profile comprised modifying the calendar notes associated with the shared calendar content”. Specifically, the designation of the event of an either to be listed on the family or individual calendar would be the identifier (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0048). The user is able to create and edit events in the same views of the system where the information is presented (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0046).

Regarding Claim 19, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method of claim 18, wherein the calendar profile comprises a work profile, and wherein modifying the content comprises removing non-work-related personal information from the content”. Specifically, the designation of the event of an either to be listed on the family or individual calendar would be the identifier (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0048). Family would be equivalent to the work profile and individual would be equivalent to the persona profile.

Regarding Claim 20, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method of claim 18, wherein modifying the calendar notes associated with the shared calendar content comprises obscuring the calendar notes associated with the shared content while retaining indicators of the times of the events associated with the obscured calendar notes”. Specifically, Each new event is also designated to either be listed on the family calendar or just on the individual's calendar. Thus, any member of the family can look

Art Unit: 2175

at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another's calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Regarding Claim 21, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method of claim 18, wherein modifying the calendar notes associated with the shared calendar content comprises providing a modified note to describe user availability in the context of both the calendar profile and on the basis of times of day associated with the calendar entries”. The user is able to create and edit events in the same views of the system where the information is presented (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0046). This includes changing the times and days of events.

Regarding Claims 22-25, these claims are substantially similar to claims 18-21 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claims 18-21.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2175

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ASHRAF ZAHR whose telephone number is (571)270-1973. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on (571)272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AAZ 5/16/10

/William L. Bashore/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175